UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/573,261	03/23/2006	Tetsunori Mitsuoka	1248-0862PUS1	3033	
	7590 02/27/200 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER			
PO BOX 747	CH 3/4 22040 0747	DO, ANDREW V			
FALLS CHUR	CH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2852		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/27/2009	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/573,261	MITSUOKA ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
ANDREW V. DO	2852

	ANDREW V. DO	2852	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 22 January 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	RALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited (with appeal fee) in compliance verse 1.114. The reply must be filed verse 1.114.	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the mailing the than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing.	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of e appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the c	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); eer form for appeal by materially rec corresponding number of finally reje	E below); ducing or simplifying th	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.124.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.125.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor		
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	will not be entered, or b)	·	-
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary  10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. As a valencing the sufficient reasons.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ıl and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a ).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but		•	
<ul> <li>11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.</li> <li>12.  Note the attached Information Displaceure Statement(s).</li> </ul>		CONTRIBUTION ANOWARD	oe necduse.
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	1 10/30/00) rapel 110(8)		
/David M Gray/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2852			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claims 4-6, 11-12, and 17-18 have been amended to be dependent on claim 13 from which they were not originally dependent on and would therefore require further consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the question under 35 U.S.C. 103 is not whether the differences themselves would have been obvious, but whether the claimed invention as a whole would have been obvious. The Examiner disagrees in that there are no differences between Ohtsuki and the claimed invention. The Examiner agrees that Ohtsuki does not specifically use the term reverse polarity toner, but this does not negate the function performed by Ohtsuki. While Ohtsuki does not explicitly address the effects on reverse polarity toner, the structure of Ohtsuki is the same as Applicant's claimed invention and clearly would perform the claimed functions.

/David M Gray/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2852